

Estimate of a Trigonometrical Sum Involving Naturals with Binary Decompositions of a Special Kind

K.M. Éminyan

Abstract

Let $\mathbf{N}_0$ be a class of natural numbers whose binary decompositions has even number of 1. We estimate of the sum $\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}_0, n \leq X} \exp(2\pi i \alpha n^2)$.

Key words: binary decomposition, estimate of a trigonometrical sum

1. Introduction

Consider the binary decomposition of a positive integer n :

$$n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k 2^k,$$

where $\varepsilon_k = 0, 1$ and $k = 0, 1, \dots$

We split the set of positive integers into two nonintersecting classes as follows:

$$\mathbf{N}_0 = \{n \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}\}, \quad \mathbf{N}_1 = \{n \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\}.$$

In 1968, A.O. Gel'fond [1] obtained the following theorem: *for the number of integers n , $n \leq X$, satisfying the conditions $n \equiv l \pmod{m}$, $n \in \mathbf{N}_j$ ($j = 0, 1$), the following asymptotic formula is valid:*

$$T_j(X, l, m) = \frac{X}{2m} + O(X^\lambda), \tag{1}$$

where m, l are any naturals and $\lambda = \frac{\ln 3}{\ln 4} = 0,7924818\dots$

Suppose that

$$\varepsilon(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } n \in \mathbf{N}_0, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The proof of formula (1) is based on the estimate

$$|S(\alpha)| \ll X^\lambda$$

of the trigonometrical sum

$$S(\alpha) = \sum_{n \leq X} \varepsilon(n) e^{2\pi i \alpha n},$$

which is valid for any real values of α .

Note that $S(\alpha)$ is a linear sum.

In present paper we estimate the quadratic sum

$$S_0(\alpha) = \sum_{n \leq X} \varepsilon(n) e^{2\pi i \alpha n^2}.$$

Sum of this type, as far as we know, isn't yet been considered in mathematical literature.

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that $X > 2$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for any $c > 0$ there exist positive numbers A and B such that if*

$$\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{\theta}{q^2}, \quad (a, q) = 1, \quad |\theta| < 1, \quad \log^A X < q \leq X \log^{-B} X,$$

then the inequality

$$|S_0(\alpha)| \ll X \log^{-c} X$$

holds.

Proof of the theorem 1 is based on the following lemmas.

2. Lemmas

Lemma 1. *Suppose that $Q \in \mathbb{N}$, $Q \leq X$. Then the following estimate holds:*

$$S_0(\alpha) \ll \frac{X}{\sqrt{Q}} + \left\{ \frac{X}{Q} \sum_{h=1}^{Q-1} |S(X-h, h, 2h\alpha)| \right\}^{1/2},$$

where $S(Y, h, \beta) = \sum_{n \leq Y} \varepsilon(n) \varepsilon(n+h) e(\beta n)$, $e(x) = \exp\{2\pi i x\}$.

The proof of this lemma coincides with the proof of a well known lemma in van der Corput's method.

Lemma 2. *Suppose that $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $h \in \mathbb{N}$, $2 < h \leq \log^{c_1} X$, where $c_1 > 0$ is a constant. Let $N+1$ be a number of binary digits of h , $X_{N+1} = X 2^{-N-1}$, $\beta_{N+1} = 2^{N+1} \beta$.*

Then the inequality

$$|S(X, h, \beta)| \ll h^{\log_2 3} |S(X_{N+1}, 1, \beta_{N+1})| + h^{\log_2 3} |S(X_{N+1}, 2, \beta_{N+1})| + h^{\log_2 3}$$

holds.

Proof. Let $h = 1w_Nw_{N-1}\dots w_1w_0$ be a binary expansion of h , $w_j = 0, 1$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, N$).

Define numbers h_j with the following binary expansions:

$$h_0 = h = 1w_Nw_{N-1}\dots w_1w_0, h_1 = 1w_Nw_{N-1}\dots w_1, h_2 = 1w_Nw_{N-1}\dots w_2, \dots, h_N = 1w_N.$$

Define numbers s_j as follows: $s_j = 1 - 2w_j$ ($j = 0, \dots, N$).

Grouping summands over even and over odd n and using obvious formulae $\varepsilon(2n) = \varepsilon(n)$, $\varepsilon(2n+1) = -\varepsilon(n)$ we have the following equalities

$$S(X, 2h_1, \beta) = (1 + e(\beta))S(X2^{-1}, h_1, 2\beta) + O(1), \quad (2)$$

$$S(X, 2h_1 + 1, \beta) = -S(X2^{-1}, h_1, 2\beta) - e(\beta)S(X2^{-1}, h_1 + 1, 2\beta) + O(1). \quad (3)$$

Consider the linear combination

$$x_jS(X_j, h_j, \beta_j) + y_jS(X_j, h_j + 1, \beta_j),$$

where $0 \leq j \leq N$, $X_j = X2^{-j}$, $\beta_j = 2^j\beta$. By (2)–(3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_jS(X_j, h_j, \beta_j) + y_jS(X_j, h_j + 1, \beta_j) &= x_{j+1}S(X_{j+1}, h_{j+1}, \beta_{j+1}) + y_{j+1}S(X_{j+1}, h_{j+1} + 1, \beta_{j+1}) + \\ &+ O(|x_j| + |y_j|), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$x_{j+1} = (s_j + \frac{s_j + 1}{2}e(\beta_j))x_j - \frac{s_j + 1}{2}y_j, \quad (4)$$

$$y_{j+1} = \frac{s_j - 1}{2}e(\beta_j)x_j - (\frac{s_j - 1}{2} + s_je(\beta_j))y_j. \quad (5)$$

Define x_0 and y_0

$$(x_0, y_0) = \begin{cases} (1, 0), & \text{if } h \text{ is even,} \\ (0, 1), & \text{if } h \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$S(X, h, \beta) = x_{N+1}S(X_{N+1}, 1, \beta_{N+1}) + y_{N+1}S(X_{N+1}, 2, \beta_{N+1}) + O(\sum_{j=0}^N (|x_j| + |y_j|)).$$

From the definition of x_0 and y_0 and from (4), (5) it follows by induction that

$$|x_j| \leq 3^j, \quad |y_j| \leq 3^j$$

for any $j \geq 0$. Thus we have

$$|S(X, h, \beta)| \ll h^{\log_2 3} |S(X_{N+1}, 1, \beta_{N+1})| + h^{\log_2 3} |S(X_{N+1}, 2, \beta_{N+1})| + h^{\log_2 3}.$$

□

Lemma 3. *Suppose that $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $j_0 \geq 1$, $Y > 2$. Then the inequality*

$$|S(Y, 1, \gamma)| + |S(Y, 2, \gamma)| \ll \log Y \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} \left| \sum_{n \leq Y2^{-j}} e(2^j \gamma n) \right| + Y2^{-j_0} + \log Y.$$

Proof follows immediately from the equalities

$$S(Y, 1, \gamma) = - \sum_{n \leq Y2^{-1}} e(2\gamma n) - e(\gamma)S(Y2^{-1}, 1, 2\gamma) + O(1),$$

$$S(Y, 2, \gamma) = S(Y2^{-1}, 1, 2\gamma) + e(\gamma)S(Y2^{-1}, 2, 2\gamma) + O(1).$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1

First apply lemma 1 with $Q = \log^{2c} X$. Now it is sufficient to estimate sums $S(X - h, h, 2h\alpha)$ for $1 \leq h \leq Q - 1$.

By lemma 2 we arrive to the inequality

$$|S(X - h, h, \beta)| \ll h^{\log_2 3} |S(X_{N+1}, 1, \beta_{N+1})| + h^{\log_2 3} |S(X_{N+1}, 2, \beta_{N+1})| + h^{\log_2 3}.$$

Then by lemma 3 we have

$$|S(X - h, h, 2h\alpha)| \ll h^{\log_2 3} \log^2 X j_0 \max_{1 \leq j \leq j_0} \left| \sum_{n \leq Y2^{-j}} e(2^j \beta_{N+1} n) \right| + X h^{\log_2 3} 2^{-j_0} + h^{\log_2 3} \log X,$$

where j_0 satisfy to inequalities

$$Q^{\log_2 3} j_0 2^{-j_0} \leq \log^{-2c} X < Q^{\log_2 3} (j_0 - 1) 2^{-j_0+1}.$$

Since

$$\left| \sum_{n \leq Y2^{-j}} e(2^j \beta_{N+1} n) \right| \ll \frac{1}{\|2^j \beta_{N+1}\|},$$

where $\|x\| = \min(\{x\}, 1 - \{x\})$, we get the inequality

$$|S(X - h, h, 2h\alpha)| \ll h^{\log_2 3} \log^2 X \max_{1 \leq j \leq j_0} \frac{1}{\|2^j \beta_{N+1}\|} + X \log^{-2c} X,$$

which holds for any h , $1 \leq h \leq Q - 1$.

Let q satisfies the inequality $q > \log^A X$, where $A > 0$ is such that $2^{N+j_0+2}h < \frac{1}{10} \log^A X$. Then we have

$$\|2^j \beta_{N+1}\| \geq \frac{1}{q} - \frac{2^{N+j_0+2}h}{q^2} \geq \frac{0.9}{q}, \quad \frac{1}{\|2^j \beta_{N+1}\|} \leq \frac{10q}{9}.$$

Let q also satisfies the inequality $q \leq X \log^{-B} X$, where $B > 0$ is such that

$$\frac{Q^{\log_2 3} j_0 \log^2 X}{\log^B X} \leq \log^{-2c} X.$$

Then for any h , $1 \leq h \leq Q - 1$, the inequality

$$|S(X - h, h, 2h\alpha)| \ll X \log^{-2c}$$

holds, and so

$$|S_0(\alpha)| \ll X \log^{-c}.$$

Corollary 1. Suppose that $X > 2, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for any $c > 0$ there exist positive numbers A and B such that if

$$\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \frac{\theta}{q^2}, \quad (a, q) = 1, \quad |\theta| < 1, \quad \log^A X < q \leq X \log^{-B} X,$$

then the inequality

$$\left| \sum_{n \leq X, n \in \mathbb{N}_0} e(\alpha n^2) \right| \ll X \log^{-c} X$$

holds.

Proof. By definition we have

$$\sum_{n \leq X, n \in \mathbb{N}_0} e(\alpha n^2) = \sum_{n \leq X} \frac{1 + \varepsilon(n)}{2} e(\alpha n^2) = \frac{1}{2} S_0(\alpha) + \frac{1}{2} S_1(\alpha),$$

where $S_1(\alpha) = \sum_{n \leq X} e(\alpha n^2)$.

Sum $S_0(\alpha)$ is estimated in theorem 1. Estimate $S_1(\alpha)$ in a standard way:

$$\begin{aligned} |S_1(\alpha)|^2 &\ll X + \sum_{|h| \leq X} \left| \sum_{n \leq X} e(2hn\alpha) \right| \ll X + \sum_{|h| \leq 2X} \min(X, \frac{1}{\|\alpha h\|}) \ll \\ &\ll \left(\frac{X}{q} + 1 \right) (X + q \log q) \ll X^2 \log^{-2c} X. \end{aligned}$$

□

References

- [1] *A.O. Gel'fond* Acta Arith., **13**, pp. 259–265 (1968)

Moscow State University of Applied Biotechnologies
E-mail address: eminyan@mail.ru